MANGALORE UNIVERSITY

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

Proceedings of the meeting of IQAC Advisory Committee held on 28-03-2022 at 12:15 PM in the Syndicate Hall, Administrative building, Mangalore University, Mangalagangothri.

The following members were present:

1. The Vice Chancellor	- Chairman
2. Dean, Faculty of Arts	- Member
3. Dean, Faculty of Science & Technology	- Member
4. Dean, Faculty of Commerce	- Member
5. Dean, Faculty of Education	- Member
6. Prof.KishoriNayak K.	- Member
7. Prof. B. R. Manjunatha	- Member
8. Prof.Sreepada K. S.	- Member
9. Prof. H. Devendrappa	- Member
10. Prof.Puttanna K.	- Member
11. Dr.PreethiKeerthi D'Souza	- Member
12. Prof. D. Shivalingaiah	- Member
13. Prof. K. R. Sridhar	- Member
14. The Registrar	- Member
15. The Registrar (Evaluation)	- Member
16. The Finance Officer	- Member
17. The Director Planning , Monitoring and Evaluation Board	- Member
18. The Librarian	- Member
19. The Director Students Welfare	- Member

20. The Chairman Research Development Consultancy and Patent Cell	- Member
21. The Director PG Centre, Chikka Aluvara	- Member
22. Dr. K. R. Chandrashekar	- Member (local Society)
23. The president Student Council	- Member
24. Shri Prakash Kalbavi	- Industrialist
25. Prof. Manjunatha Pattabi	- Director
26. Prof.Vishalakshi B.	- Deputy Director
27. Prof. Monika Sadananda	- Deputy Director

Special Invitees:

1. Prof. Monika Sadananda	- Convenor, Criterion I
2. Prof.Vishalakshi B.	- Convenor, Criterion II
3. Prof. N. Karunakara	- Convenor, Criterion III
4. Prof.BojaPoojary	- Convenor, Criterion IV
5. Prof. Y. Sangappa	- Convenor, Criterion V
6. Prof. B. H. Shekhar	- Convenor, Criterion VI
7. Prof. Ganesh Sanjeev	- Convenor, Criterion VII

Members Absent:

1. Prof.Vishwanatha	- Member
2. Prof. B. K. Sorojini	- Member
3. Prof.Karunakar A. Kotegar	- Member (Management)
4. Dr.ShamprasadVarija Raghu	- Member (Alumni)

Prof. Manjunatha Pattabi, Director of IQAC, welcomed the members of the Advisory committee to the meeting. It was followed by Introductory Remarks by the Hon'ble Vice Chancellor.He mentioned about the grade received from NAACduring 2021 and emphasized on the importance of documentation. It was suggested to focus on the metrics that brings up the good score.He also mentioned that frequently asked questions (FAQs) willbe made available in the university website by next month. He also said that synchronization of data with AISHE is very important.The Vice Chancellor invited Criteria-wise Conveners to present the draft of AQAR.

Agenda: Approval of AQAR 2020-21.

The draft AQAR 2020-21 was prepared by IQAC, as per the NAAC guidelines, by collecting the data and relevant/supporting documentationfrom all Departments, Chairs, Administrative units and PG Centre, Chikka Aluvara and presented before the committee for approval.

The conveners of respective Criterion-specific Committees briefed about the individual criteria.

Criteria I – Curricular Aspects

Prof. Monika Sadananda, Convenor,gave an overview and listedstrengths and weaknessesof Curricular Aspects of the AQAR 2020-21. The following observations were made:

1. Syllabus revision, CBCS/Elective Courses, Field /Research Projects/Internships are the strengthswhile Value Added Courses and Employability/Skill development/Entrepreneurship are the weak points in criterion I.
2. Introduction of Value added Courses for students in the 1st and 2nd semesters to be offered by departments as well as study centres and endowment chairs is already in the pipeline with Draft Regulation prepared.

3. More activities need to be conducted in Employability/Skill development/Entrepreneurship along with relevant MoAs with industries for the purpose.

Suggestions:

- Shri Prakash Kalbavi suggested to conduct interaction of students with industry representatives and proposed avenue wherein the industry can train the students in this regard.
- Dr. K. R Chandrashekar suggested to enhance the value added courses that will help in the next cycle of Accreditation.

Criteria II – Teaching, Learning and Evaluation

Prof.Vishalakshi B., Convenor, gave an overview of Criterion II of the AQAR.

She highlighted the weak points such as high student:teacher ratio, low number of permanent faculties, and also mentioned that very few departments are offering campus recruitments and opined that these wouldaffect thecriterion score.

Suggestions:

- Dr. K. R. Chandrashekar suggestedthat idealstudent teacher ratio would be around 15:1
- It was opined that there was a need to activate the Placement and Training cell to enable more number of placements.

Criterion III – Research, Innovation and Extension

Prof. KarunakaraN., Convenor,briefed about Criterion III and highlighted the weak points such as research grants received, patents, seed money provided for faculty.

Suggestions:

- Prof. K. R. Sridhar suggested to improvethe Patent and Consultancy cell that would encourage the permanent faculties to go for the patents.
- Dr. K. R. Chandrashekar suggested that University may need to approach and collaboratewith industry to receive good research grants.

- It was suggested that Incubation Centreneeds to be more active and conduct more research oriented programs.

Criterion IV – Infrastructure and Learning Recourses

Prof.BojaPoojary, Convenor,gave an overview of Criterion IV. He mentioned the lack of e-content developed by permanent facultyas a weak point.He also added the requirements ofAudio visual theatre, swimming pool, mini auditoriums etc to boost the infrastructure.

1. It was suggested to setup at least 1 computer lab in each academic block to improve the facilities for teaching–learning.
2. It was suggested that University should provide more funds to subscribe for e-resources.

Suggestions:

- Prof. K. R. Chandrashekar suggested to make videos of the lectureseries and upload it in university website to improve the e-content.
- Strengthening of e-content through conducting workshopsby inviting experts.

Criterion V – Student Support and Progression

Prof. Y. Sangappa, Convenor, briefed about Criterion V of the AQAR.He mentioned that soft skill development programs and Alumni contributions are theweak points of the criteria. He suggested to conduct soft skill development programs for the students using the computer labs in the campus.

Suggestions:

- It was suggested to conduct activities to improve Alumni services using CSR fund.

Criterion VI – Governance, Leadership, and Management

Prof.Shekar B. H., Convenor, gave an overview of Criterion VI and highlighted the requirement of audited statements forsome of the metrics to submit the AQAR.

Decision:

- The Finance Officer took the responsibility of providing the required information.

Criterion VII – Institutional Values and Best Practices

Prof. Ganesh Sanjeev, Convenor, gave an overview of the Criterion VII and gave suggestions for improvement of institutional best practices.

1. Improve waste water recycling systemforwater conservation facilities
2. Improve waste management facilities for degradable and non-degradable wastages.

The draft report was approved for placing in the syndicate meeting to be held on 29-03-2022 for final approval by statutory body, as required by NAAC.

The meeting ended with the expression of gratitudeby Prof. Vishalakshi B., Deputy Director of IQAC for the valuable suggestions by the members.

Director
IQAC, Mangalore University

Director
IQAC
Mangalore University
Mangalagangothri - 574 199

Registrar
Mangalore University

Registrar
Mangalore University
Mangalagangothri - 574 199

Vice Chancellor
Mangalore University

Vice Chancellor
MANGALORE UNIVERSITY
MANGALAGANGOTHRI - 574 199